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#### Abstract

In [J. Approx Theory 71 (1992), 123-137], Al-Jarrah and Hasan considered the weight function $W(x)=\exp \left(-2|x|^{\alpha}\right), \alpha>0, x \in \Re$, and investigated the growth of an entire function $f$ that guarantees the geometric convergence of the Lagrange and Hermite interpolation processes and the Gauss-Jacobi quadrature formula for $f$ and its higher derivatives when the nodes of interpolation are chosen to be the zeros of the orthogonal polynomial associated with $W$. In this paper, we repeat investigations similar to those of Al-Jarrah and Hasan, but this time with a more general Freud-type weight function $\hat{W}^{2}(x)=w^{2}(x) \exp (-2 Q(x)), x \in \mathfrak{M}$, where, for example, $w(x)$ is a generalized Jacobi factor, and $Q(x)$ is an even function that satisfies various restrictions. 1994 Academic Press. Inc.


## 1. Introduction and Notations

Let $W(x)$ be a weight function on $\Re$, and $\left\{P_{n}(W ; x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of orthogonal polynomials associated with $W$. Denote the leading coefficient of $P_{n}(W)$ by $\gamma_{n}(>0)$, and the zeros of $P_{n}(W)$ by $\left\{x_{k n}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ with $x_{1 n}>x_{2 n}>\cdots>x_{n n}$. We also denote, as usual, the Lagrange interpolation polynomial, the Hermite interpolation polynomial, and the Gauss-Jacobi quadrature formula to a function $f$ over the nodes $\left\{x_{k n}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ by $L_{n}(W ; f), H_{n}(W ; f)$, and $Q_{n}(W ; f)$, respectively. It is known that if $f$ is
an entire function and $\xi \in \mathscr{C}$, then (see [2, III, Sect. 8.4] and [3])

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\xi)-L_{n}(W ; f ; \xi)=\frac{P_{n}(W ; \xi)}{2 \pi i} \oint_{C_{n}} \frac{f(z) d z}{P_{n}(W ; z)(z-\xi)}  \tag{1.1}\\
& f(\xi)-H_{n}(W ; f ; \xi)=\frac{P_{n}^{2}(W ; \xi)}{2 \pi i} \oint_{C_{n}} \frac{f(z) d z}{P_{n}^{2}(W ; z)(z-\xi)} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R} f(x) W(x) d x-Q_{n}(W ; f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{k+1}}{\gamma_{k}} \oint_{C_{k}} \frac{f(z) d z}{P_{k}(W ; z) P_{k+1}(W ; z)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}$ is the boundary of a simply connected domain containing the zeros of $P_{k}(W)$ in its interior.

Throughout the rest of this paper, we shall adopt the following assumptions and notation:
$\mathrm{A}_{1}: f$ will be an entire function, and $\quad M_{f}(R)=\max _{|z|=R}|f(z)|$, $z \in \mathscr{C}$,
$\mathrm{A}_{2}:$ for $\alpha>0, \quad \sigma=\lim \sup _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left(\log M_{f}(R)\right) / 2 R^{\alpha}$,
$\beta_{\alpha}=\lambda_{\alpha}^{-1 / \alpha}, \quad$ and $\quad \lambda_{\alpha}=\Gamma(\alpha) /\left(2^{\alpha-2} \Gamma(\alpha / 2)^{2}\right) ; \quad$ and
$A_{3}: a$ is the positive solution of the equation

$$
g(x)=\frac{1-x}{4} \exp \left(\frac{1-x}{2 x}\right)=1, \quad(a \approx 0.23)
$$

The following theorem gives a summary of the main results that were proved in [1].

Theorem A. Let $W_{\alpha}(x)=\exp \left(-2|x|^{\alpha}\right), \alpha>0, x \in \mathfrak{R}$, and

$$
\tau(\alpha)=\frac{(1-a)^{((\alpha+2) / 2)}}{2 a(\alpha+2) \beta_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}
$$

Then for $m=0,1,2, \ldots$, and all $\xi \in \mathscr{C}$, we have
(i) $\sigma<\tau(\alpha) \Rightarrow \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{R} f^{(m)}(x) W_{\alpha}(x) d x-Q_{n}\left(W_{\alpha} ; f^{(m)}\right)\right|^{1 / n}<1$;
(ii) $\sigma<\frac{1}{2} \tau(\alpha) \Rightarrow \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|f^{(m)}(\xi)-L_{n}\left(W_{\alpha} ; f^{(m)} ; \xi\right)\right|^{1 / n}<1 ; \quad$ and
(iii) $\sigma<\tau(\alpha) \Rightarrow \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|f^{(m)}(\xi)-H_{n}\left(W_{\alpha} ; f^{(m)} ; \xi\right)\right|^{1 / n}<1$.

Moreover, (ii) and (iii) hold uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathscr{C}$.

In this paper we generalize the results of Theorem A by considering a more general class of weight functions. In Section 2, we introduce the necessary definitions in order to arrive at the general weight to be considered, and after that we state the main results. In Section 3, we give a sketch of the proof of the main results.

## 2. Definitions and Results

In order to state our main results, we shall need to define a few classes of weight functions on $\mathfrak{R}$. These classes appeared in [4, Thm. 3.1 and 3.4]

Definition 2.1. Let $W(x)=\exp (-Q(x))$, where $Q(x)$ is even and continuous on $\mathfrak{R}$, with $Q^{\prime}(x)>0$ for $x>0, Q^{\prime \prime}(x)$ exists for $x>0$, and $Q^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)$ exists for large enough $x$. Furthermore, for some constants $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}>0$,

$$
C_{1} \leq 1+x\left|Q^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| / Q^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{2} \quad \text { for } x>0
$$

and

$$
x^{2}\left|Q^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right| / Q^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{3} \quad \text { for } x \text { large enough. }
$$

Then we write $W \in V S F$.
Note that if $\alpha>0$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{R}$, then $W(x)=\exp \left(-|x|^{\alpha}\left(\log \left(2+x^{2}\right)\right)^{\beta}\right)$ $\in V S F$. Associated with the weight $W \in V S F$, and whenever it is uniquely defined, $a_{n}=a_{n}(W)$ is the Mhaskar-Rahmanov-Saff (MRS) quantity that is defined to be the positive root of the equation

$$
n=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} a_{n} x Q^{\prime}\left(a_{n} x\right)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} d x
$$

In the special case $W(x)=W_{\alpha}(x)=\exp \left(-|x|^{\alpha}\right), \alpha>0, a_{n}(W)$ takes the simple form [6]:

$$
a_{n}\left(W_{\alpha}\right)=\beta_{\alpha} n^{1 / \alpha}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Definition 2.2. Let $w(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{N}\left|x-z_{j}\right|^{\delta_{j}}, x \in \mathfrak{R}$, where $N \geq 1$; $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{N}$ are distinct complex numbers, $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{N} \in \Re$, and if $z_{j}$ is real, the corresponding $\delta_{j}>-1 / 2$. Then $w$ is called a generalized Jacobi factor.

Definition 2.3. Let $\hat{W}(x)=w(x) h(x) W(x)$, where
(i) $W \in V S F$,
(ii) $w$ is a generalized Jacobi factor,
(iii) $h$ is a non-negative measurable function such that $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow x} h(x)$ $=1$, and for all $c$ large enough.

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \log h(c x)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} d x>-\infty
$$

Then we write $\hat{W} \in L S$.
Observe that if $\rho>-1$ and $\alpha>0$, then $\hat{W}(x)=|x|^{\rho / 2} \exp \left(-|x|^{\alpha}\right) \in$ $L S$.

We now formulate our main results.
Theorem B. Let $\hat{W}^{2}(x)$ be an even weight function on $\mathfrak{R}$, where $\hat{W} \in L S$ such that for some $\alpha>0$, there exists a constant $C_{\alpha}$ that depends on $\alpha$ at most, with

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n}(W)}{n^{1 / \alpha}} \leq C_{\alpha}
$$

and let

$$
\mu(\alpha)=\frac{(1-a)^{((\alpha+2) / 2)}}{2 a(\alpha+2) C_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}
$$

Then for all $m=0,1,2, \ldots$, and $\xi \in \mathscr{E}$, we have
(i) $\sigma<\mu(\alpha) \Rightarrow \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow x}\left|\int_{R} f^{(m)}(x) \hat{W}^{2}(x) d x-Q_{n}\left(\hat{W}^{2} ; f^{(m)}\right)\right|^{1 / n}<1$;
(ii) $\sigma<\frac{1}{2} \mu(\alpha) \Rightarrow \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow x}\left|f^{(m)}(\xi)-L_{n}\left(\hat{W}^{2} ; f^{(m)} ; \xi\right)\right|^{1 / n}<1$; and
(iii) $\sigma<\mu(\alpha) \Rightarrow \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow x}\left|f^{(m)}(\xi)-H_{n}\left(\hat{W}^{2} ; f^{(m)} ; \xi\right)\right|^{1 / n}<1$.

Moreover, (ii) and (iii) hold uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathscr{C}$.
At this point we would like to point out that the assumptions of Theorem B will be satisfied if $\hat{W}(x)=W_{\alpha}(x)=\exp \left(-|x|^{\alpha}\right), \alpha>0$. In this case $C_{\alpha}$ can be replaced by $\beta_{\alpha}$, and consequently, we can easily see that Theorem A is a corollary of Theorem B.

## 3. Proof of Theorem B

The preliminaries and the steps of the proof of Theorem B are essentially the same as those used in the proof of Theorem A. The main difference here comes from taking into consideration the validity of the

Freud's conjecture [5, Th. 2.4]

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{a_{n} \gamma_{n}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

and the additional assumption

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n^{1 / \alpha}} \leq C_{\alpha}
$$

for the weight $W$, instead of taking

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(n^{-1 / \alpha} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_{n}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

for the weight $W_{\alpha}(x)=\exp \left(-|x|^{\alpha}\right), \alpha>0$.
So, in light of this brief introduction, we feel that it is appropriate to state without proof the necessary preliminaries and to sketch a proof of Theorem B. We also refer the interested reader to [1] and [4] for more details and references on the material of this section.

Throughout the rest of this paper, we will assume that the assumptions of Theorem B are satisfied. We will also denote $P_{n}\left(\hat{W}^{2} ; x\right)$ by $P_{n}(x)$, its leading coefficient by $\gamma_{n}$, and its zeros by $x_{1 n}>x_{2 n}>\cdots>x_{n n}$. Here is the statement of our preliminary results:

Lemma C.
(i) $\max _{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{\gamma_{k-1}}{\gamma_{k}} \leq x_{1 n} \leq 2 \max _{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{\gamma_{k-1}}{\gamma_{k}}$
(ii) $\sum_{k=1}^{[n / 2]}\left(x_{k n}\right)^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\gamma_{k-1}}{\gamma_{k}}\right)^{2}$
(iii) $\quad \underset{R \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \frac{M_{f^{(m)}}(R)}{2 R^{\alpha}} \leq \sigma, \quad m=1,2,3, \ldots$,
(iv) for all $z \in \mathscr{E}$, with $|z| \leq x_{1 n},\left|P_{n}(z)\right| \leq 2^{n / 2} \gamma_{n}\left(x_{1 n}\right)^{n}$
(v) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{a_{n} \gamma_{n}}=\frac{1}{2}$
(vi) for any $\eta>0$, there exists $N_{\eta} \in N$, such that, for all $n \geq N_{\eta}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{1, n+1} \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right) C_{\alpha} n^{1 / \alpha},  \tag{3.2}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{[n / 2]}\left(x_{k n}\right)^{2} \leq K+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2} C_{\alpha}^{2} n^{((\alpha+2) / \alpha)}, \\
\frac{1}{\gamma_{n}^{2}} \leq A\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2 n} C_{\alpha}^{2 n}(n!)^{2 / \alpha}, \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and for all $z \in \mathscr{E},|z|>x_{1, n+1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|P_{n}(z) P_{n+1}(z)\right|^{-1} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_{n} \gamma_{n+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{|z|^{2 n+1}} \\
& \quad \cdot \exp \left\{\frac{2 K+2(\alpha /(\alpha+2))\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2} C_{\alpha}^{2}(n+1)^{((\alpha+2) / \alpha)}}{|z|^{2}-\left(x_{1, n+1}\right)^{2}}\right\}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K$ and $A$ are constants depending on $\alpha$ and $\eta$.
For the proofs of (i)-(iv) and (vi), see [1]. As to (v), it is a consequence of the Szegő asymptotic [4, Thm. 3.4]

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\gamma_{n}\left(\frac{a_{n}}{2}\right)^{n+(1 / 2)+\Delta} G\left[W\left(a_{n} x\right)\right]\right\}=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2}
$$

where

$$
G\left[W\left(a_{n} x\right)\right]=\exp \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1}\left[\log W\left(a_{n} x\right)\right]\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} d x\right)
$$

and

$$
\Delta=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta_{j}
$$

## Outline of the Proof of Theorem B

In view of (3.1), it can be seen that it is sufficient to proof the theorem for $m=0$ only. From (1.1)-(1.3), it can also be argued that the three parts (i)-(iii) of Theorem B can be proved by, more or less, using the same techniques and estimates. Hence, we proceed at this point to outline the proof of part (i) only.

Let

$$
I_{n}=\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{C_{n}} \frac{f(z) d z}{P_{n}(z) P_{n+1}(z)}
$$

and $C_{n}$ to be the circle $|z|=R_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}^{2} \geq \frac{\left(x_{1, n+1}\right)^{2}}{1-\varepsilon}, \quad \text { for } a<\varepsilon<1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.4) with $|z|=R_{n}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|P_{n}(z) P_{n+1}(z)\right|^{-1} \\
& \leq \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_{n} \gamma_{n+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{R_{n}^{2 n+1}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad \cdot \exp \left\{\frac{2 K+2(\alpha /(\alpha+2))\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2} C_{\alpha}^{2}(n+1)^{((\alpha+2) / \alpha)}}{\varepsilon R_{n}^{2}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

From the assumption

$$
\sigma=\limsup _{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{f}(R)}{2 R^{\alpha}}
$$

we can find, for any $\delta>0$, an $N_{\delta} \in N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{f}\left(R_{n}\right) \leq \exp \left\{2(\sigma+\delta) R_{n}^{\alpha}\right\}, \quad \text { for all } R_{n} \geq N_{\delta} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.6), (3.3), and (3.7), we conclude, for large $R_{n}$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|I_{n}\right| \leq A\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2 n} C_{\alpha}^{2 n}(n!)^{2 / \alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{R_{n}^{2 n}} \\
& \cdot \exp \left\{2(\sigma+\delta) R_{n}^{\alpha}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{2 K+2(\alpha /(\alpha+2))\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2} C_{\alpha}^{2}(n+1)^{((\alpha+2) / \alpha)}}{\varepsilon R_{n}^{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we choose $R_{n}$ which will minimize the right-hand side of the last inequality, and which will, at the same time, satisfy (3.5). So, we consider
the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(R)=\frac{1}{R^{2 n}} \exp \{ & 2(\sigma+\delta) R^{\alpha} \\
& \left.+\frac{2 K+2(\alpha /(\alpha+2))\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2} C_{\alpha}^{2}(n+1)^{((\alpha+2) / \alpha)}}{\varepsilon R^{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By differentiating $h(R)$ and setting $h^{\prime}(R)=0$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \alpha(\sigma+\delta) R^{\alpha+2} \\
& \quad-\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\left\{2 K+2\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2} C_{\alpha}^{2}(n+1)^{((\alpha+2) / \alpha)}\right\} \\
& \quad-2 n R^{2}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we now choose $R_{n}$ to satisfy (3.8) and we combine this with (3.2) to obtain

$$
R_{n}^{2} \geq\left(\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon(\alpha+2)(\sigma+\delta)(1+2 \eta)^{\alpha} C_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}\right)^{(2 /(\alpha+2))}\left(x_{1, n+1}\right)^{2} .
$$

So, (3.5) will be satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma+\delta=\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{((\alpha+2) / 2)}}{2 \varepsilon(\alpha+2) C_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}<\frac{(1-a)^{((\alpha+2) / 2)}}{2 a(\alpha+2) C_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}=\mu(\alpha) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.8), we conclude that

$$
2(\sigma+\delta) R_{n}^{\alpha}=\frac{2 n}{\alpha}+\frac{4 K+4(\alpha /(\alpha+2))\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2} C_{\alpha}^{2}(n+1)^{((\alpha+2) / \alpha)}}{\varepsilon \alpha R_{n}^{2}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|I_{n}\right| \leq A\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2 n} C_{\alpha}^{2 n}(n!)^{2 / \alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{R_{n}^{2 n}} \\
& \quad \cdot \exp \left\{\frac{2 n}{\alpha}+\frac{2 K+2(\alpha /(\alpha+2))\left(\frac{1}{2}+\eta\right)^{2} C_{\alpha}^{2}(n+1)^{((\alpha+2) / \alpha)}}{\varepsilon R_{n}^{2}}\right. \\
& \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.8) one more time, we get

$$
\frac{1}{R_{n}^{2}} \leq\left(\frac{2 \varepsilon(\alpha+2)(\sigma+\delta)}{C_{\alpha}^{2}}\right)^{(2 /(\alpha+2))} n^{-2 / \alpha}
$$

By combining the last two inequalities, and then using the Stirling formula and (3.9), we conclude that

$$
\left|I_{n}\right| \leq B n^{1 / \alpha}\left\{\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{4}\right)\left(1+T_{1}(\eta)\right) \exp \left[t_{n}+\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2 \varepsilon}\right)\left(1+T_{2}(\eta)\right)\right]\right\}^{n},
$$

where $B$ is a constant depending on $\alpha$ and $\eta, t_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $T_{i}(\eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0, i=1,2$.

Since $g(\varepsilon)=((1-\varepsilon) / 4) \exp ((1-\varepsilon) / 2 \varepsilon)$ is continuous, decreasing function on $(0,1)$ and $g(a)=1$, it follows that $0<g(\varepsilon)<1$ for $a<\varepsilon<1$. Consequently, we can find, for small $\eta$ and large $n$, a number $\rho<1$, such that

$$
\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{4}\right)\left(1+T_{1}(\eta)\right) \exp \left\{t_{n}+\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2 \varepsilon}\right)\left(1+T_{2}(\eta)\right)\right\}<\rho<1
$$

which establishes that the series $\sum_{k}\left|I_{k}\right|$ is convergent.
Therefore, for sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{R} f(x) \hat{W}^{2}(x) d x-Q_{n}\left(\hat{W}^{2} ; f\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left|I_{k}\right| \\
& \quad \leq C n^{1 / \alpha}\left\{\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{4}\right)\left(1+T_{1}(\eta)\right) \exp \left[t_{n}+\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2 \varepsilon}\right)\left(1+T_{2}(\eta)\right)\right]\right\}^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending on $\alpha, \eta$, and $\varepsilon$.
Hence, for a small enough $\eta$,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{R} f(x) \hat{W}^{2}(x) d x-Q_{n}\left(\hat{W}^{2} ; f\right)\right|^{1 / n} \leq g(\varepsilon)<1
$$

which completes the proof.
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